

On classical electrodynamics in odd-dimensional worlds

B. P. Kosyakov

Russian Federal Nuclear Center, Sarov, 607190 Russia

E-mail address: kosyakov@vniief.ru

Abstract

Given the conventional Maxwell–Lorentz formulation of classical electrodynamics in a flat spacetime of arbitrary odd dimension, the retarded vector potential A^μ generated by a point-like charge is found to be pure gauge, $A^\mu = \partial^\mu \chi$. By the Gauss law, the charge vanishes. Therefore, classical electromagnetism is missing from odd-dimensional worlds. By contrast, a particle interacting with a scalar field generates nonzero field strength. If the electromagnetic action is augmented by the addition of the Chern–Simons term, the interaction picture in the three-dimensional world becomes nontrivial.

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 11.10.Kk.

In this note we come to a surprising result that classical electromagnetic interactions, realized in the Maxwell–Lorentz sense, are missing from odd-dimensional worlds. It is well known that the four-dimensional electrodynamics can be directly extended to any even dimensions to result in a consistent theory [1]. However, one can hardly conceive that similar extension to odd-dimensional spacetimes leads to a picture where charged particles generate vanishing field strengths, and, by the Gauss law, the charges are zero.

The classical odd-dimensional physics is of concern to us not only for methodological reasons but also in relation to the holographic principle [2, 3]. By this principle, a physical system can be deemed both classical and quantum, depending on the spacetime which is attributed to it: the system behaves classically in the ‘bulk’ (some D -dimensional spacetime), whereas the picture becomes quantum being holographically projected onto a ‘screen’ (part of the $D - 1$ -dimensional boundary of this spacetime). For example, the hydrogen atom is a typical quantum-mechanical system in a four-dimensional screen, but its behavior is classical when it is referred to a pertinent five-dimensional bulk. (This classical five-dimensional description may be regarded as a kind of the ‘hidden-variable’ theory.) A characteristic feature of the Schrödinger description, the lack of radiation in stationary states, should be recovered in the dual classical description. It transpires that not only radiation but also the Coulomb-like part of electromagnetic field is absent in five-dimensional worlds (the hidden-variable theory is not so plain which is naively expected).

We begin with the conventional electrodynamic action in Gaussian units

$$S = S_p - \int d^D x \left(j^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{4\Omega_{D-2}} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (1)$$

where S_p is the mechanical part of the action responsible for the particle behavior, Ω_{D-2} is the area of the $D - 2$ -dimensional unite sphere, $\Omega_{D-2} = 2\pi^{(D-1)/2}/\Gamma[(D-1)/2]$, and the field strength is expressed through the vector potential, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. As is well known, the action (1) for $D = 3$ should be augmented by the addition of the Chern–Simons term. However, we wish to compare even-dimensional and odd-dimensional cases within a unified framework, so that we ignore for a while any augmentations and employ the action (1), which is well suited to the even-dimensional electrodynamics [1].

Variation of (1) with respect to A_μ gives the D -dimensional Maxwell equations

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \Omega_{D-2} j^\nu. \quad (2)$$

Due to the linearity of Eq. (2), we may restrict our consideration to the single-particle case. A particle with a δ -shaped distribution of the electric charge e , moving along an arbitrary world line $z^\mu(s)$, gives rise to the current

$$j^\mu(x) = e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds v^\mu(s) \delta^D(x - z(s)) \quad (3)$$

where $v^\mu := dz^\mu/ds := \dot{z}^\mu$ is the D -velocity of the particle.

We impose the Lorentz condition on the vector potential to fix the gauge, $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$; then Eq. (2) reduces to

$$\square A^\mu = \Omega_{D-2} j^\mu. \quad (4)$$

A solution to Eq. (4) is

$$A^\mu(x) = \int d^D x' G_{\text{ret}}(x - x') j^\mu(x') \quad (5)$$

where $G_{\text{ret}}(x)$ is the retarded Green's function of the wave equation. For a flat spacetime of dimension $D = 2n + 3$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, we have (see, e. g., [4])

$$G_{\text{ret}}(x) = N_D^{-1} \theta(x_0) \left(\frac{d}{dx^2} \right)^n \frac{\theta(x^2)}{\sqrt{x^2}}, \quad N_D = \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \quad (6)$$

We insert (3) and (6) in (5). Denoting $R_\mu = x_\mu - z_\mu(s)$ where x_μ is the observation point and $z_\mu(s)$ the emission point on the world line, we arrive at

$$A^\mu(x) = \frac{e}{N_D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds v^\mu(s) \theta(R_0) \left(\frac{d}{dR^2} \right)^n \frac{\theta(R^2)}{\sqrt{R^2}}. \quad (7)$$

We choose $\lambda = R^2$ as the integration variable and observe that $d\lambda/ds = -2R \cdot v$ to obtain

$$A^\mu(x) = \frac{e}{2N_D} \int_{-\lambda_m}^{\infty} d\lambda \frac{v^\mu}{R \cdot v} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^n \frac{\theta(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \quad (8)$$

where λ_m is an uttermost distance squared between the observation point and the world line. For $n \geq 1$, the integral (8) diverges at $\lambda = 0$. This is due to the fact that the integrand of (5) is the product of two singular distributions. Since Green's functions are singular in themselves, they are normally integrated with smooth sources $j^\mu(x)$. To assign mathematical sense to the ill-defined expression (8), we regularize it by the convention that the derivatives should act on the left and the surface term is ignored. Following to this regularization prescription, we find

$$A^\mu(x) = \frac{e(-1)^n}{2N_D} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^n \frac{v^\mu}{R \cdot v} = \frac{e(-1)^n}{2N_D} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^n \log(R \cdot v). \quad (9)$$

With estimation $R^\mu = O(\lambda^{1/2})$, $v^\mu = O(1)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, valid for asymptotically straight timelike world lines, the integral (9) converges uniformly for $n \geq 1$ (that is, $D \geq 5$), so that the integration and the differentiation with respect to x^μ are interchangeable. As to $n = 0$ (that is, $D = 3$), one may think of Eq. (9) as

$$A_\mu(x) = \frac{e}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} e^{-\epsilon\lambda} \log(R \cdot v). \quad (10)$$

Be it as it may, whenever the integration and $\partial/\partial x_\mu$ are commutative¹, $A^\mu = \partial^\mu \chi$.

We integrate (2) over a domain V containing the charged particle in the hyperplane perpendicular to the particle world line and take into account the relation $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ to get

$$e \Omega_{D-2} = \oint_V d^{D-1}x \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} v_\nu = \oint_{\partial V} d^{D-2}x n_\mu F^{\mu\nu} v_\nu = 0.$$

¹In fact, the possibility to interchange these operations is a subtle issue. The interchanging is certainly impermissible (for lack of the uniform convergence) when the measure contains a singular component like δ -function or its derivatives. To illustrate, for $D = 4$, in lieu of (8), we have

$$A^\mu(x) = e \int_{-\lambda_m}^{\infty} d\lambda \delta(\lambda) \frac{v^\mu}{R \cdot v} = e \int_{-\lambda_m}^{\infty} d\lambda \delta(\lambda) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \log(R \cdot v). \quad (11)$$

The differentiation in (11) must be done prior to the integration, and, therefore, we obtain $ev^\mu/(R \cdot v)|_{\text{ret}}$, the Liénard–Wiechert vector potential, not $e\partial^\mu[\log(R \cdot v)]_{\text{ret}}$ which differs from the Liénard–Wiechert result in the term $eR^\mu[(R \cdot \dot{v}) - 1]/(R \cdot v)|_{\text{ret}}$.

Since the coupling e is zero, the action (1) relates to a trivial picture without interaction.

This result is insensitive to the form of the regularization prescription. [By contrast, in the even-dimensional case, no regularization renders the corresponding integral uniformly convergent; in fact, any regularization is superfluous here, the integrals are well-defined.]

For comparison, we address a particle interacting with scalar field ϕ . The action is [5]

$$S = S_p + \int d^D x \left(j\phi + \frac{1}{2\Omega_{D-2}} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi \right) \quad (12)$$

where

$$j(x) = g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \sqrt{v \cdot v} \delta^D(x - z(s)).$$

This action leads to the field equation $\square\phi = \Omega_{D-2}j$. Following basically the above procedure, we find the retarded solution:

$$\phi(x) = \frac{g(-1)^n}{2N_D} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^n \frac{\sqrt{v \cdot v}}{R \cdot v}. \quad (13)$$

There is nothing uncommon with this solution. In any case, gradients of ϕ are nonzero. Those play the role of the field strength, which is manifested by the example of the particle Lagrangian $L_p = -m(v \cdot v)^{1/2}$ leading to the equation of motion for the particle [5]

$$\frac{d}{ds} [(m + g\phi) v^\mu] = g \partial^\mu \phi. \quad (14)$$

It is likely that this L_p must be augmented by the addition of terms with higher derivatives for the description to be self-consistent in the cases $D = 5, 7, \dots$ [1], but this fact is apparently unrelated to the form of the force appearing in the right-hand side of (14).

We finally consider the effect of incorporation of the Chern–Simons term. We use the $D = 3$ electrodynamic action [6]

$$S = S_p - \int d^3x \left(j^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{8\pi} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \mu \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma}) \right). \quad (15)$$

The field equation which follows from the actions (15) is

$$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} + \mu {}^*F^\beta = 2\pi j^\alpha$$

where ${}^*F^\alpha := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\beta\gamma}$. We rewrite this equation as

$$\Lambda^{\alpha\beta}(\partial) {}^*F_\beta := (\mu \eta^{\alpha\beta} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\gamma) {}^*F_\beta = 2\pi j^\alpha,$$

iterate it with $\Lambda(\partial)$, and use the Bianchi identity $\partial_\beta {}^*F^\beta = 0$, to obtain

$$(\square + \mu) {}^*F_\alpha = 2\pi \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\partial) j^\beta. \quad (16)$$

It is clear from Eq. (16), even without explicit writing its solution, that the field strength generated by a point-like charged particle is nonzero. Therefore, the scheme as a whole is nontrivial. Note that Eq. (16) allows a direct expression of the field variables $F_{\alpha\beta}$ through the source variables j^α , unlike Eq. (2) which, with this aim in view, must be converted to the vector potential equation (4), culminating in the pure gauge solution.

To summarize, in an effort to obtain a classical description of odd-dimensional worlds, one should not use the action (1) resulting in zero electromagnetic coupling e . A credible speculation is that some nonelectromagnetic field, e. g., scalar, is of paramount importance here. An alternative way out is a modification of the electromagnetic action (1) in the Chern–Simons spirit, which, though, may introduce some off-beat properties (massive gauge fields, nonlinearity in higher dimensions, etc.).

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the International Science and Technology Center, Project 840.

References

- [1] B. P. Kosyakov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **119**, 119 (1999) [Theor. Math. Phys. **119**, 493 (1999)]; hep-th/0207217.
- [2] B. P. Kosyakov, Phys. Lett. **B 492**, 349, 2000; hep-th/0009071.
- [3] B. P. Kosyakov, in *Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on non-Perturbative QCD*, Paris, France, 5-9 June 2001, ed. H. M. Fried, Y. Gabellini and B. Müller (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002), pp. 283-290; hep-th/0109056.
- [4] N. N. Bogoliubov, A. A. Logunov, and I. T. Todorov, *Introduction to Axiomatic Quantum Field Theory* (Benjamin, New York, 1975).
- [5] A. O. Barut, *Electrodynamics and Classical Theory of Fields and Particles* (Colier-Macmillan, New York, 1964).
- [6] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982).